

REMARKS – General

By the above amendment, the applicant has rewritten all the claims to define the invention more particularly and distinctly so as to overcome the technical
5 rejections and define the invention patentably over the prior art.

The Claim 10-22 rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shimomura et al. (US Patent 6,418,131) has been overcome

10 The last O.A. rejected the Claims 10-22. Applicant requests reconsideration of these rejections.

The applicant has rewrite the claim to reflect the differences and novelty over prior art. Accordingly applicant submit that the new claim dose comply with § 102
15 and therefore requests withdrawal of these rejections.

Conclusion

For all of the above reasons, the applicant submits that the specification and
20 claims are now in proper form, and that the claims all define patentably over the prior art. Therefore he submits that this application is now in condition for allowance, which action he respectfully solicits.

Conditional Request For Constructive Assistance

25

Applicant has amended the claims of this application so that they are proper, and define novel structure which is also unobvious. If, for any reason this application is not believed to be in full condition of allowance,

Applicant respectfully request the constructive assistance and suggestions of the Examiner pursuant to M.P.E.P. § 2173.02 and § 707.07(j) in order that the undersigned can place this applicant in allowable condition as soon as possible and without the need for further proceedings.

5

Very respectfully,



Franklin Zhigang Zhang

10 4717 Spencer street,
Torrance, CA 90503
Tel: (310)901-2631 Email: endeavour@franklints.com

Date: 2005 September 9

15

Best Available Copy